UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,870	02/10/2006	Tomoya Ikcuchi	11630546PUS1 2052		
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/14/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRC	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747		TO, TUAN C			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

•			•		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/567,870	IKEUCHI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tuan C. To	3663		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe	<u>ebruary 2006</u> .			
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 2006</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification.	e: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is $\alpha$	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)  Noti	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2/10/06, 9/5/07.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Date		

10/567,870 Art Unit: 3663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1. Embodiment 1, figures 1-17
- 2. Embodiment 2, figure 18
- 3. Embodiment 3, figures 19, and 20
- 4. Embodiment 4, figure 21
- 5. Embodiment 5, figure 22, and 23
- 6. Embodiment 6, figure 24
- 7. Embodiment 7, figure 25, and 26
- 8. Embodiment 8, figure 27-31.
- 9. Embodiment 9, figure 32-34
- 10. Embodiment 10, figure 35-37
- 11. Embodiment 11, figure 38, and 39
- 12. Embodiment 12, figure 40
- 13. Embodiment 13, figure 41, and 42
- 14. Embodiment 14, figure 43, and 44
- 15. Embodiment 15, figure 45-48
- 16. Embodiment 16, figure 49
- 17. Embodiment 17, figure 50

Application/Control Number:

10/567,870 Art Unit: 3663

- 18. Embodiment 18, figure 51
- 19. Embodiment 19, figure 52, and 53
- · 20. Embodiment 20, figure 54
  - 21. Embodiment 21, figure 55
  - 22. Embodiment 22, figure 56, and 57
  - 23. Embodiment 23, figure 58
  - 24. Embodiment 24, figure 59-66

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

10/567,870 Art Unit: 3663

In the present case there is no common "special technical feature" because the general inventive concept as set forth in the claims does not define over the prior art. See US 6728633, and US 5911773.

## **Conclusions**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Patent Examiner,

Tuan C To

December 10, 2007